

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/529,582	CASOLARO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jacqueline DiRamio	1641	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Abandoned

(1) Jacqueline DiRamio.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Arthur Crawford.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 March 2007

**Time:** 3:50 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*All of Record*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Attorney of Record, Arthur Crawford, in order to notify Applicant that no reply had been received in response to the Non-final Office Action mailed August 9, 2006. Arthur Crawford returned Examiner's phone call and notified Examiner that no reply had been filed and that the Application had in fact gone abandoned.